

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. U9/251,149 02/17/99 OWEIS S A7398

IM22/0922

SUGHRUE MOIN ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20037-3202

EXAN	IINER
MAPLE	S,J
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1745	10

DATE MAILED:

09/22/00

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

_											
X	THE	PERIO	D FOR RESPONSE:								
a)		is exten	ded to run	or continues	to run		_ from the date of the fin	al rejection			
b)	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.										
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.									
		Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  Applicant's process to the final principle filed 9/14/00 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed									
2	to p	place the	response to the final re pplication in condition	for allowance:			_	geffect, but it is not deem	ned		
1.		The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:									
		a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.									
		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).									
		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).									
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.									
	e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.										
		NOTE:					<del></del>				
2.			proposed or amended n-allowable claims.	daims	would	d be allowed if s	submitted in a separately	y filed amendment cance	illing		
3.		Upon t	ne status of the claims wi	26							
		Claims	allowed:								
		Claims objected to:									
		Claims	rejected:			<del></del>					
		However;									
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):											
4		The of	flidavit exhibit or reque	st for reconsideration	has been o	onsidered but d	oes not overcome the re	ejection because <u>of</u>	DIE		
7.			EBUNU SET F	ORTH W THE	FWAL	RETECTION	·				
5	sons why it was not ear	üer									
٥.	ليا	prese			• • •			archaelle			
٦	] The	e propos	ed drawing correction	☐ has ☐ has n	ot been app	roved by the ex	aminer.	JOHN S. MAP	LES		
_	] Ot	her						PRIMARY EXAL GROUP 19	MINER		
			•		***		1000年の日本の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の	STATE OF THE PARTY			